and from eligibility for, or involvement in, nonprocurement transactions (e.g., grants and cooperative agreements) of the United States Government as defined in 45 CFR part 76 (Debarment Regulations) for a period of five (5) years, beginning on August 20, 2002;

(2) to exclude himself from serving in any advisory capacity to PHS, including but not limited to service on any PHS advisory committee, board, and/or peer review committee, or as a consultant for a period of five (5) years, beginning on

August 20, 2002; and

(3) to submit a letter to the Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology requesting retraction of Figure 1 in the article by Hui Liu, et al., J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 33:2001–2014, 2001, within 30 days of notification of this action. This requirement will be noted on the ALERT System until Dr. Yao sends a copy of the retraction letter to ORI.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Director, Division of Investigative Oversight, Office of Research Integrity, 5515 Security Lane, Suite 700, Rockville, MD 20852, (301) 443–5330.

#### Chris B. Pascal,

Director, Office of Research Integrity.
[FR Doc. 02–22794 Filed 9–6–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4150–31–P

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

[Document Identifier: CMS-R-70]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) (formerly known as the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA)), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to

be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Type of Information Collection Request: Extension of a currently approved collection; Title of *Information Collection:* Information Collection Requirements in HSQ-110, Acquisition, Protection and Disclosure of Peer Review Organization Information and Supporting Regulations in 42 CFR, Sections 476.104, 476.105, 417.116, and 476.134.; Form No.: CMS-R-70 (OMB# 0938-0426); Use: The Peer Review Improvement Act of 1982 authorizes PROs to acquire information necessary to fulfill their duties and functions and places limits on disclosure of the information. These requirements are on the PRO to provide notices to the affected parties when disclosing information about them. These requirements serve to protect the rights of the affected parties.; *Frequency:* Reporting on occasion; *Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit, Individuals or households, Not-for-profit institutions.; Number of Respondents: 362; Total Annual Responses: 3729; Total Annual Hours: 60,919

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, access CMS's Web site address at http://www.hcfa.gov/regs/ prdact95.htm, or E-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and CMS document identifier, to Paperwork@hcfa.gov, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (410) 786-1326. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections must be mailed within 60 days of this notice directly to the CMS Paperwork Clearance Officer designated at the following address: CMS, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs, Division of Regulations Development and Issuances, Attention: Melissa Musotto, Room N2-14-26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

Dated: August 28, 2002.

#### John P. Burke, III,

Paperwork Reduction Act Team Leader, CMS Reports Clearance Officer, Office of Strategic Operations and Strategic Affairs, Division of Regulations Development and Issuances. [FR Doc. 02–22765 Filed 9–6–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-03-P

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Food and Drug Administration [Docket No. 02N-0215]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Export of FDA Regulated Products—Export Certificates

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that the proposed collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the collection of information by October 9, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the collection of information to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, New Executive Office Bldg., 725 17th St. NW., rm. 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Stuart Shapiro, Desk Officer for FDA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark L. Pincus, Office of Information Resources Management (HFA–250), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–1471.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

#### Export Certificates for FDA Regulated Products Under Sections 801(e) and 802 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act—New Collection

FDA is requesting approval from OMB for the collection of information from the public associated with the export of FDA regulated products as indicated in sections 801(e) and 802 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 381(e) and 382), as amended.

In April 1996, a new law entitled "The FDA Export Reform and Enhancement Act of 1996" was enacted. It was designed to ease restrictions on exportation of unapproved products regulated by FDA and to facilitate such exportation by provide foreign governments certificates verifying that the products may be legally exported. Specifically, section 801(e)(4) of the act provides that persons exporting certain

FDA-regulated products may request FDA to certify that the products meet the requirements of sections 801(e) or 802 of the act, or other requirements of the act. Section 801(e)(4) of the act requires FDA to issue export certificates within 20 days of receipt of the request and to charge firms up to \$175 for the certificates.

FDA has developed five types of certificates that satisfy the requirements of section 801(e)(4)(B) of the act: (1) "Certificates to foreign governments" are issued for legally marketed products that are in compliance with the requirements of the act; (2) "certificates of exportability" are for the export of products that cannot be marketed legally in the United States, but meet the requirements of sections 801(e) or 802 of the act and may be exported legally; (3) "certificates of a pharmaceutical product" are used for

the export of drug products that are legally marketed in the United States. They conform to the format established by the World Health Organization (WHO) and attest to the acceptable current good manufacturing practice status of the manufacturing facility of the drug product; (4) "nonclinical research use only certificates" for the export of nonclinical research use only product, material, or component that is not intended for human use which may be marketed in and legally exported from the Untied States under the act; and (5) "certificates of free sale."

FDA has relied and will continue to rely on information provided by manufacturers for all types of export certificates. Manufacturers are requested to state that they are in compliance with all applicable requirements of the act, at the time that they submit their request to the appropriate center.

FDA will check all information submitted by firms in support of their certificates and any suspected case of fraud will be referred to FDA's Office of Criminal Investigations for followup. Firms making or submitting false statements on any documents submitted to FDA may be violating the United States Code title 18, chapter 47, section 1001 and be subject to penalties including up to \$250,000 in fines and up to 5 years imprisonment.

In the **Federal Register** of May 30, 2002 (67 FR 37836), the agency requested comments on the proposed collection of information. FDA received four comments, three did not pertain to the information collection requirements and one talked to requirements of the U.S. Department of Agriculture and State agencies.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN<sup>1</sup>

FDA Centers	No. of Respondents	Annual Frequency per Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Total Hours
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) Center for Veterinary Medicine Total	1,479 4,187 3,500 621 9,787	1 1 1 1	1,479 4,187 3,500 621 9,787	1 1 2 <sup>2</sup> 1	1,479 4,187 7,000 <sup>2</sup> 621 13,287

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

The estimates provided in table 1 are based on each center's latest calendar year counts.

Dated: September 3, 2002.

#### Margaret M. Dotzel,

Associate Commissioner for Policy [FR Doc. 02–22793 Filed 9–6–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–8

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### National Institutes of Health

#### National Center for Research Resources; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material,

and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Center for Research Resources Special Emphasis Panel, Comparative Medicine.

Date: September 30, 2002.

Time: 7 p.m. to Adjournment.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* Hilton Hotel, 8727 Colesville Road, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

Contact Person: John L. Meyer, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Office of Review, National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health, 6705 Rockledge Drive, Msc 7965, One Rockledge Centre, Room 6018, Bethesda, MD 20892– 7965, 301–435–0806, meyerj@ncrr.nih.gov.

Dated: August 29, 2002.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine, 93.306; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.333; 93.371, Biomedical Technology; 93.389, Research Infrastructure, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

#### LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 02–22777 Filed 9–6–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4140–01–M** 

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **National Institutes of Health**

# National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The contract proposals and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Based on the CDRH policy of allowing multiple devices to appear on the certificate.